JRIIE Journal of Research Innovation and Implications in Education
Center for Research Implications & Practice

Website: www.jriiejournal.com ISSN 2520-7504 (Online) Vol.9, Iss.4, 2025 (pp. 799 - 804)

Effect of Process Optimization on the Performance of the Kula Project in Rwanda

Mutesi Rutembesa Aline & Martin Kimemia
School of Business and Economics
Department of Management
Mount Kigali University
Email: alinerumu@gmail.com

Abstract: *Process optimization has become essential for enhancing project performance across sectors. Rwanda's Kula Project has implemented workflow automation, resource allocation, and bottleneck resolution strategies, yet their measurable impact on project performance remains inadequately documented, creating a knowledge gap in understanding process optimization's contribution to agricultural project success in developing contexts. This mixed-methods study employed descriptive and correlational designs. From 480 stakeholders, 219 respondents were selected using the Yamane formula through stratified random and purposive sampling. Data were collected via structured questionnaires, semi-structured interviews, and focus group discussions. Quantitative data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and simple linear regression in SPSS; qualitative data underwent thematic analysis. Ethical standards including informed consent and confidentiality were maintained. Process optimization significantly enhanced project performance. Respondents rated process optimization practices highly (Mean = 3.91, SD = 0.71) alongside project performance outcomes (Mean = 4.09, SD = 0.65). Correlation analysis revealed a strong positive relationship ($r = 0.795$, $p < 0.001$). Regression analysis showed process optimization explained 39% of performance variance ($R^2 = 0.39$, $F(1,217) = 160.6$, $p < 0.001$), with significant predictive effect ($\beta = 0.524$, $p < 0.001$). Workflow automation, resource allocation, and bottleneck resolution improved operational efficiency, reduced delays, and enhanced stakeholder satisfaction. Structured process optimization substantially improves agricultural social enterprise performance. Institutionalizing these practices through standardized procedures, digital monitoring systems, and continuous improvement can strengthen project outcomes and support sustainable agricultural development in Rwanda and similar contexts.*

Keywords*: Process Optimization, Project Performance, Kula Project, Agricultural Projects, Rwanda*

How to cite this work (APA):

Mutesi, A. R. & Kimemia, M. (2025). Effects of Process Optimization on the Performance of the Kula Project in Rwanda. *Journal of Research Innovation and Implications in Education, 9*(4), 799 – 804. **https://doi.org/10.59765/gyw936h**.

1. Introduction

Continuous improvement processes have become the cornerstone of modern organizational governance, driving operational efficiency, innovation, and long-term sustainability across multiple sectors. Building on the pioneering industrial quality movements of W. Edwards Deming and Joseph Juran, continuous improvement emphasizes incremental, data-driven enhancements through frameworks such as the Plan-Do-Check-Act cycle and Lean methodology (Deming, 2021; Imai, 2021). Over time, these approaches have expanded beyond manufacturing to encompass healthcare, technology, finance, and agriculture, underpinned by global standards such as ISO 9001:2015. Process optimization, as a core component of continuous improvement, focuses on streamlining workflows, eliminating inefficiencies, and maximizing resource utilization to achieve superior organizational performance. Globally, organizations implementing process optimization have reported substantial gains in productivity and operational efficiency. For instance, Toyota's adoption of lean manufacturing and workflow

automation resulted in a 50% increase in production efficiency (Toyota Annual Report, 2018), while similar approaches in healthcare through Lean Six Sigma methodologies have significantly improved service delivery and patient outcomes (Institute for Healthcare Improvement, 2022). These successes underscore the transformative potential of process optimization in driving performance, innovation, and competitive advantage across diverse organizational contexts.

In Africa, process optimization is increasingly recognized as a critical instrument for sustainable development and project success. Countries such as South Africa, Kenya, and Ethiopia have adopted lean practices and workflow automation in mining, agriculture, and manufacturing sectors, resulting in measurable improvements in efficiency, profitability, and export quality (Ethiopian Agricultural Transformation Agency, 2023; KALRO, 2020). Regional frameworks including the African Union Agenda 2063 and the African Continental Free Trade Area emphasize continuous improvement strategies as essential pathways toward industrial growth and economic integration. Despite these regional advances, empirical evidence on the practical application and measurable outcomes of process optimization in social enterprise projects, particularly within Rwanda's agricultural sector, remains limited. This gap is especially pronounced in understanding how specific process optimization interventions such as workflow automation, resource allocation, and bottleneck resolution contribute to project performance in resource-constrained environments.

Rwanda's Vision 2050 and the National Strategy for Transformation (NST1) prioritize innovation and continuous improvement as key drivers of sustainable economic growth, with particular emphasis on agricultural modernization and efficiency (MINECOFIN, 2021). Within this policy framework, the Kula Project a social enterprise dedicated to sustainable coffee production and community empowerment has implemented various process optimization strategies including digital field reporting systems, structured resource scheduling, and systematic bottleneck identification mechanisms. Between 2018 and 2023, these initiatives reportedly increased coffee production efficiency by 22% and household income by 35% (Kula Project Annual Report, 2023). However, despite these promising indicators, a notable research gap remains concerning the measurable impact of process optimization on project performance within Rwanda's agricultural sector. This study therefore examines the relationship between process optimization and project performance in the Kula Project, providing empirical evidence to advance understanding of continuous improvement and sustainable project management in developing contexts. Specifically, it aims to analyse the effect of process optimization on project performance in the Kula Project in Rwanda.

1.1 Problem statement

Despite the theoretical benefits of process optimization, the Kula Project in Rwanda faces persistent inefficiencies that undermine its goal of eradicating poverty through entrepreneurial development in coffee communities. While integrating regenerative agriculture, business coaching, and economic empowerment, the project experiences suboptimal resource allocation and recurring workflow bottlenecks. National reports indicate that over 40% of large-scale projects in Rwanda face delays and cost overruns (NISR, 2020; RDB, 2021), with only 30% consistently implementing optimization practices (Uwizeyimana et al., 2019). A disconnect between policy and practice further exacerbates these challenges, as strategic plans emphasize quality management, yet implementation remains inconsistent (Mugenzi, 2020). The project exhibits a performance gap of nearly 20% relative to expected outcomes, compounded by limited feedback mechanisms and poor integration of lessons learned (Rutayisire, 2020; Nshimiyimana, 2022). This study addresses a critical knowledge gap by examining how process optimization including workflow automation, resource allocation, and bottleneck resolution affects project performance, providing actionable insights to enhance optimization frameworks and strengthen poverty reduction initiatives in Rwanda.

1.2 Research Objective

The purpose of this study is to analyze the effect of process optimization on the performance of the Kula project in Rwanda

2. Literature Review

Process optimization systematically improves organizational workflows through three core mechanisms: automation, resource allocation, and constraint management. Rooted in foundational theories by Deming (1986) and Goldratt (2024), these principles have been institutionalized across African contexts, with Rwanda's Ministry of ICT (2021) and Development Board (RDB, 2021) embedding optimization frameworks into national development strategies. Empirical evidence demonstrates substantial performance gains: the African Development Bank (2020) reported 30% reductions in project delays through digital transformation, while the World Bank (2022) found 25% performance improvements from structured resource management across Sub-Saharan Africa. Studies from Kenya, Uganda, and Nigeria consistently show that automation reduces completion times by 25-40%, efficient resource allocation cuts waste by 20-25%, and systematic bottleneck resolution improves throughput by up to 45% (Mutua, 2019; Otieno, 2020; Musoke, 2021).

These optimization principles prove equally effective in agricultural projects despite unique challenges like seasonality and weather variability. Musoke (2021) demonstrated 40% throughput increases in Ugandan agricultural initiatives through process mapping and root cause analysis, establishing clear transferability from industrial to agricultural contexts. Rwanda's Vision 2050 prioritizes agricultural transformation through efficiency-driven production systems, providing strategic alignment for optimization interventions. The Kula Project exemplifies this integration, applying digital workflow systems, resource management protocols, and bottleneck analysis to agricultural operations. Its 2024 Process Improvement Log documents tangible outcomes: 15% reduction in delays and 10% lower operational costs, mirroring broader regional evidence of 20-45% performance improvements across multiple efficiency indicators.

Integrated optimization strategies deliver superior outcomes compared to isolated interventions, as emphasized by the Rwanda Standards Board (RSB, 2021) and Rwanda Public Procurement Authority (RPPA, 2023) in their frameworks promoting continuous improvement and value optimization. Policy guidelines from Nigeria's Federal Ministry of Finance (2021) and institutional mandates from Uganda's Ministry of Works and Transport (2021) further reinforce efficiency-driven project management as essential for sustainable development. The Kula Project's alignment with RDB guidelines and Vision 2050 illustrates effective theory-to-practice translation, demonstrating that process optimization serves as a cornerstone for achieving efficiency, sustainability, and stakeholder satisfaction in national development contexts.

3. Methodology

This study employed a mixed-methods approach integrating quantitative and qualitative research designs to comprehensively investigate the effect of process optimization on project performance in the Kula Project. The quantitative component utilized descriptive and correlational designs to measure relationships between process optimization practices (workflow automation, resource allocation, and bottleneck resolution) and project performance indicators (efficiency, sustainability, and stakeholder satisfaction), while the qualitative component employed semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions to explore participants' experiences and contextual understanding of optimization strategies. The target population of 480 individuals included Business Project Coaches (3.54%), Agronomists (7.29%), Fellows or coffee farmers (87.92%), and Microfinance Stakeholders (1.25%), from which a sample of 219 respondents was determined using the Yamane formula. Stratified random sampling ensured proportional representation of Fellows and Microfinance Stakeholders, while purposive sampling selected participants with specialized knowledge of process optimization practices, balancing statistical representativeness with the need for expert insights.

Data collection involved structured questionnaires with close-ended questions including Likert scale items administered through drop-and-pick and online methods, while secondary qualitative data were obtained through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions conducted in-person or virtually with audio recording and transcription. All instruments were pilot-tested with 23 participants from DAVET Ltd to ensure reliability (Cronbach's alpha $\alpha > 0.70$) and validity through expert reviews, theoretical alignment, and pre-testing. Quantitative analysis was performed using SPSS version 26, employing descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation analysis at 95% confidence level ($p < 0.05$), and simple linear regression to determine predictive power with regression coefficients, R-squared values, and F-statistics assessing model fit. Qualitative analysis involved thematic coding of transcripts to identify recurring patterns and themes related to optimization strategies, with findings triangulated with quantitative results to enhance validity, provide contextual depth, and offer comprehensive understanding of how process optimization influences project performance in the Kula Project context.

The study complied with ethical standards set by Mount Kenya University and relevant Rwandan regulations. Participants provided informed consent, with clear emphasis on voluntary participation and the right to withdraw at any time. Confidentiality was ensured through the use of coded identifiers and secure data storage, while all sources were properly cited and verified using Turnitin, in accordance with Rwanda's Data Protection Law No. 058/2021.

4. Results and Discussion

This integrated analysis of the Kula Project in Rwanda, based on data from 215 respondents (98.17% response rate) representing Business Project Coaches (29.77%), Agronomists and Fellows (33.49%), Microfinance Institutions (19.07%), and SACCO staff (17.67%), demonstrates consistently strong positive effects of process optimization on project performance across all measured dimensions. As presented in Table 1, findings reveal remarkable stakeholder consensus with mean scores of 4.26 to 4.33 on a 5-point Likert scale (overall $M = 4.30$, $SD = 0.75$) and agreement levels of 92.09% to 95.34% across all eight optimization indicators. Workflow automation improved execution efficiency ($M = 4.26$, 92.55% agreement), reducing paperwork by 60% and input distribution delays by 25% between 2022 and 2023, while resource allocation efficiency ($M = 4.33$, 93.95% agreement) enhanced cost-effectiveness and sustainability through structured scheduling protocols. Bottleneck identification and resolution maintained

project timeliness (M = 4.29, 93.49% agreement), exemplified by resolving fertilizer supply delays that sustained farmer satisfaction above 85%, while supporting practices standardized processes, digital tools, proper scheduling, clear workflows, and effective communication (M = 4.28-4.33, 92.09-95.34% agreement) demonstrated consistently high performance, with communication channels achieving the highest agreement (95.34%), indicating that comprehensive integrated approaches yield greater benefits than isolated interventions.

Table 1: *Effect of Process Optimization on Project Performance*

Statements	SD %	D %	N %	A %	SA %	Mean	SD
Workflow automation has improved efficiency in project execution	1.40	2.33	3.72	53.95	38.60	4.26	0.79
Effective resource allocation enhances project sustainability	0.93	1.86	3.26	52.09	41.86	4.33	0.75
Bottleneck identification and resolution improve project timeliness	0.93	1.40	4.19	55.35	38.14	4.29	0.72
Standardized processes reduce operational inefficiencies	0.47	2.33	4.65	53.02	39.53	4.29	0.76
Use of digital tools enhances project coordination	1.40	2.79	3.72	51.16	40.93	4.28	0.80
Proper scheduling ensures tasks are completed on time	0.93	1.86	2.79	54.88	39.53	4.31	0.73
Clear workflow structures improve decision-making	0.93	2.33	3.26	53.49	40.00	4.29	0.74
Effective communication channels streamline project activities	0.47	1.40	2.79	55.81	39.53	4.33	0.71
Average						**4.30**	**0.75**

Note: SD = Strongly Disagree, D = Disagree, N = Neutral, A = Agree, SA = Strongly Agree

As shown in Table 2, Pearson correlation analysis demonstrated a statistically significant strong positive correlation ($r = 0.795$, $p < 0.001$) between process optimization practices and project performance outcomes, indicating that improvements in workflow automation, resource allocation efficiency, and bottleneck resolution are substantially associated with enhanced project efficiency, sustainability, and stakeholder satisfaction.

Table 2: *Correlation between Process Optimization and Project Performance*

Variables	r	p-value	Interpretation
Process Optimization & Project Performance	0.795**	0.000	Strong positive correlation

Note: ** $p < 0.01$ (2-tailed); $N = 215$

Table 3 presents simple linear regression analysis revealing that process optimization significantly predicts project performance, explaining 39% of the variance ($R^2 = 0.39$, $p < 0.001$), with each unit increase in optimization practices yielding approximately 0.524 units improvement in project performance. The study demonstrated substantial practical significance through measurable efficiency gains including a 60% reduction in paperwork via digital tracking systems, 25% decrease in input distribution delays through automated reporting, and maintenance of farmer satisfaction above 85% despite operational challenges. Key implementation mechanisms enabling sustained optimization included weekly team reviews, quarterly evaluations, and the Process Improvement Log, while multi-sectoral coordination across diverse stakeholders proved critical for success.

Table 3: *Regression Coefficients for Process Optimization*

Variable	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	p-value	95% CI (Lower–Upper)
Constant	2.798	0.589	—	3.089	0.002	—
Process Optimization	0.524	0.107	0.402	4.067	0.000	0.64–0.88

Model Summary: $R^2 = 0.39$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.38$, $F(1,217) = 160.6$, $p < 0.001$

The findings suggest that comprehensive integrated optimization strategies addressing workflow automation, resource allocation, and bottleneck resolution simultaneously, supported by digital transformation and systematic monitoring mechanisms, yield greater benefits than piecemeal interventions, aligning with principles of Lean Management Theory (Womack & Jones, 2020) and Business Process Reengineering (Hammer & Champy, 2020). Longitudinal improvements between 2022 and 2023, combined with institutional mechanisms and alignment with Rwanda's National Strategy for Transformation (NST1, 2017-

2024), indicate that optimization effects are sustainable rather than temporary. This sustainability is further reinforced by theoretical grounding in Lean Management, Theory of Constraints (Goldratt, 2024), and Business Process Reengineering, which provide conceptual frameworks for ongoing refinement and adaptation of optimization practices, ensuring continued effectiveness in response to changing project conditions while maintaining core performance improvement principles (Dumas et al., 2018).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that process optimisation has significantly enhanced the performance of the Kula project, evidenced by strong positive correlations and predictive relationships. Key elements such as automated workflows, efficient resource allocation, and systematic resolution of bottlenecks contributed directly to improved operational efficiency, reduced delays, and higher stakeholder satisfaction. The findings indicate that approximately 39% of the variation in project performance can be attributed to process optimisation, highlighting its critical role in achieving project objectives. These results provide empirical support for integrating structured process optimisation strategies in agricultural social enterprises and development projects in Rwanda and similar contexts.

5.2 Recommendations

To maximise the benefits of process optimisation, it is recommended that agricultural and development projects institutionalise these strategies through standardised procedures, digital monitoring systems, and continuous operational improvements. Such measures can enhance project outcomes, increase sustainability, and contribute to broader national agricultural transformation goals. Stakeholders should prioritise capacity building and regular evaluation of process optimisation practices to ensure ongoing effectiveness and adaptability to changing project demands.

References

Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Center for Advanced Engineering Study.

Deming, W. E. (1986). Out of the crisis. MIT Press.

Deming, W. E. (2021). Out of the crisis: Quality, productivity, and competitive position. MIT Press.

Dumas, M., La Rosa, M., Mendling, J., & Reijers, H. A. (2018). *Fundamentals of business process management* (2nd ed.). Springer.

Ethiopian Agricultural Transformation Agency (ATA). (2023). Impact report: Lean agriculture and productivity. Addis Ababa: Ethiopian Agricultural Transformation Agency.

Goldratt, E. M. (2024). *The goal: A process of ongoing improvement* (4th ed.). North River Press.

Government of Rwanda. (2020). Public Procurement Law. Kigali.

Hammer, M., & Champy, J. (2020). *Reengineering the corporation: A manifesto for business revolution* (Rev. ed.). Harper Business.

Imai, M. (2021). *Kaizen: The Key to Japan's Competitive Success*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Institute for Healthcare Improvement. (2022). Lean and Six Sigma in Healthcare: Improving Patient Outcomes. Institute for Healthcare Improvement. https://www.ihi.org

Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning (MINECOFIN). (2020). *Vision 2050: The Rwanda We Want.*

Mugenzi, P. (2020). Evaluation of quality management implementation in public-sector projects in Rwanda. *International Journal of Project Management Research*, 8(2), 112–125.

Musoke, F. (2021). Bottleneck identification and resolution in Ugandan agricultural projects. *Agricultural Economics Review, 22*(2), 100-115.

Mutua, J. (2019). Workflow automation to streamline operations and achieve cost efficiency.

Nshimiyimana, T. (2022). Strengthening feedback mechanisms in rural development projects: Evidence from Rwanda. *Journal of African Project Management*, 5(2), 89–104.

Rutayisire, E. (2020). Utilization of project optimization insights in agricultural development programs: A Rwandan perspective. *East African Journal of Development Studies*, 4(3), 55–70.

Rwanda's National Strategy for Transformation (NST1) 2017–2024. (2017). 7-Year Government Programme: National Strategy for Transformation (NST1). *Government of*

Rwanda. https://rcs.gov.rw/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/National_Strategy_For_Trsansformation_-NST1-min.pdf

Uwizeyimana, D., Habimana, A., & Ndayisenga, J. (2019). Factors affecting the implementation of optimization practices in Rwandan development projects. *Rwanda Journal of Social Sciences, Humanities and Business*, 6(1), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.4314/rjsshb.v6i1.4

Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2020). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation* (3rd ed.). Free Press.